IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§	
	§	
v.	§	CRIMINAL NO. W-00-CR-111-ADA
	§	
LADON KENTRELL KING	§	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

TO: THE HONORABLE ALAN D ALBRIGHT, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

The undersigned submits this Report and Recommendation to the district judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Rule 1 of Appendix C of the Local Court Rules of the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges.

Before the Court is the petition of the United States Probation Office recommending the revocation of the defendant's term of supervision. The district judge referred the matter to the undersigned for the preparation of a report and recommendation.

I. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On August 2, 2001, the defendant was convicted of Possession with Intent to Distribute "Crack" Cocaine, a Schedule II Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) & (b)(1)(A)(iii). The Court sentenced the defendant to 293 months imprisonment; five years supervised release; \$1,000.00 fine; \$100.00 special assessment. On August 10, 2020, the defendant was released to supervision.

On January 29, 2024, the United States Probation Office filed a Petition for Warrant or Summons for Offender Under Supervision, alleging the defendant violated the terms of his supervision and seeking a show-cause hearing as to why the defendant's supervision should not be revoked. The petition alleges the defendant violated the terms of his supervision in the following instances:

Violation Number 1: Nature of Non-Compliance: The defendant violated Mandatory Condition Number 1, in that he committed a new crime, in that on January 8, 2024, he was arrested for False Making, Altering, Forging, Counterfeiting Lottery Tickets, a felony, in violation of Georgia Criminal Code 50-27-27(a); and Theft by Deception, a misdemeanor, in violation of Georgia Criminal Code 16-8-3.

Violation Number 2: Nature of Non-Compliance: The defendant violated Standard Condition Number 1, in that he left the judicial district without permission, in that on January 8, 2024, Mr. King was arrested in Douglasville, Georgia. Mr. King did not have permission to travel outside the Western District of Texas.

Violation Number 3: Nature of Non-Compliance: The defendant violated Standard Condition Number 9, in that he associated with persons engaged in criminal activity, and with persons convicted of a felony without the permission of the probation officer.

Violation Number 4: Nature of Non-Compliance: The defendant violated Mandatory Condition Number 2 and the Additional Condition prohibiting unlawful use of a controlled Substance, in that he tested positive for the use of marijuana on November 2, 2021, and January 26, 2023.

II. FINDINGS OF THE COURT

At the hearing, the government abandoned violations 1 and 4. The defendant pleaded true to violations 2 and 3. The government presented evidence that would support a finding of true, by a preponderance of the evidence, as to violations 2 and 3.

- 1. The defendant violated the conditions of his supervision as alleged in the petition.
- 2. The defendant was competent to make the decision to enter a plea to the allegations.

- 3. The defendant had both a factual and rational understanding of the proceedings against him.
- 4. The defendant did not suffer from any physical or mental impairment that would affect his ability to fully understand the charges against him or the consequences of his plea.
- 5. The defendant has not had any injury that would affect his judgment in entering a plea or that would affect his understanding of the basis, consequences, or effect of his plea.
- 6. The defendant was sane and mentally competent to stand trial for these proceedings.
- 7. The defendant was sane and mentally competent to assist his attorney in the preparation and conduct of his defense.
- 8. The defendant received a copy of the petition naming him, and he either read it or had it read to him.
- 9. The defendant understood the petition and the charges alleged against him and had the opportunity to discuss the petition and charges with his attorney.
- 10. The defendant understood that he had the right to present evidence and to cross-examine witnesses at the hearing.
- 11. The defendant freely, intelligently, and voluntarily entered his plea to the allegations.
- 12. The defendant understood his statutory and constitutional rights and desired to waive them.

III. <u>RECOMMENDATION</u>

The undersigned has carefully considered all the arguments and evidence presented by the parties and **RECOMMENDS** that the defendant's supervised release be revoked and that he be remanded to the custody of the U.S. Marshal for five months, with no term of supervised release to follow. The defendant should also receive credit for time served since his arrest.

IV. WARNINGS

The parties may file objections to this Report and Recommendation. A party filing objections must specifically identify those findings or recommendations to which objections are being made. The district court need not consider frivolous, conclusive, or general objections. See Battles v. United States Parole Comm'n, 834 F.2d 419, 421 (5th Cir. 1987).

A party's failure to file written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations contained in this Report within fourteen (14) days after the party is served with a copy of the Report shall bar that party from de novo review by the district judge of the proposed findings and recommendations in the Report and, except upon grounds of plain error, shall bar the party from appellate review of unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the district judge. See 28 U.S.C. 636(b)(1)(C); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150-53 (1985); Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association, 79 F.3d 1415, 1428-29 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

SIGNED this 28th day of October 2024.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE